BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040 Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301 www.beyerlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

January 13, 2006

Receiver:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TEL #:

FAX#:

(571) 273-8300

Sender:

Susan W. Xu for Justin A. White

Our Ref. No.: IGT1P102

Re:

Application No. 10/605,574

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 03

MESSAGE:

Please file the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request for the above-referenced patent application.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE U	INITED STAT	TES PATENT A	ND TRADEM	ARK OFFIC	
In re application of: PAULSEN, et al.			Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P102		
Application No.: 1		Examiner: Vernal U. Brown			
Filed: October 9, 2003			Group: 2635 Confirmation No.: 2573		
Title: UNIVERSAL KEY SECURITY METHOD AND SYSTEM			Confirmation No.: 2373		
	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to fax number 571-273-8300 of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January 13, 2006. Signed: Susan W. Xu				
APP	LICANT INI	CLATED INTER	RVIEW REQU	JEST FORM	C
Commissioner fo P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA		•			
Tentative Partici	pants:				
 Justin A. White Examiner Brown 			2) Keith D. Moore, IGT4) Supervisory Examiner?		
Proposed Date of Interview: 01/17/2006			Proposed Time: 2:00 PM		
Type of Intervie	ew Requested:				
Telephone	M Person	nal Ui	deo Conference		
Exhibit to be Sh If yes, provide	nown or Demons brief description:	trated: Yes	⊠ No		
		ISSUES TO BE I	DISCUSSED		
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) §112	1-10, 37	none			
rejections 2) §102	24-25, 28-30	Lerchner			
rejections 3) §103 rejections	1-23, 26-27, 31-47	Lerchner, LeMay Bradford, Gokcebay, Gatto			

IGT1P102

1 of 2

JAN 1 9 2008

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

- 1a) An electromechanical item is still "mechanical," such that use of this broader term is proper. It is not necessary for Applicants to include every detail of an invention in a claim.
- 1b) "Any other step" refers to any other step in the claim.
- 2) Lerncher does not teach a "second source of indicia with respect to the user of the mechanical key," at least in the sense that Applicants are claiming.
- 3a) Lerchner does not teach PIN information stored in the key, as stated in the Office Action.
- 3b) Bradford does not teach embedding biometric identification information in a key, as stated in the Office Action. An RFID tag in a key fob is not the same as or similar to biometric information in a key. Further, neither Bradford nor any of the recited prior art teaches or suggests putting a biometric reading device on a mechanical key.
- 3c) Appropriate motivations to combine references have not been provided, and many of the recited references are from non-analogous arts.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed be applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delay from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFT 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)
Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

IGT1P102